

**Arkansas Balance of State Continuum of Care
2023 Project Ranking Tool**

Reviewer
Agency
Project
Project Type

Threshold Questions All require "YES" or an accepted justification to move forward with a

Threshold Criteria	Yes	No	Source	Notes
Project complies with eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule and Subsequent Notices and meets threshold requirements outlined in the HUD 2022			Non-profit documentation	
Project does utilize the Housing First Model (checklist and application)			1) Project Application Section 3B #5 2) Supplemental Application Q8 3) Housing First Checklist	
Project will follow the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures, including HUD's Equal Access Rule, when it is implemented while also participating in the			Supplemental Application Q6	
Project does or will participate in HMIS or an equivalent database			Supplemental Application Q19-23	
Project has written documentation of match that meets HUD's standards			Project Application Section 6D	
Applicant is an active CoC Participant			1) Committee List Submitted by Collaborative Applicant 2) Letter of Good Standing 3) Supplement Application Q13	
Application and supplemental application are			Review of Items Submitted	
Applicant does not have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings			1) Project Application Recipient Performance 2) Supplemental Application Q3	
Current audit does not have findings			Agency Audit Letter	
Renewal Projects Only (older than 1 year)				
Renewal Project has a spending rate of at least 80% on			Supplemental Application Q2, review of most current completed grant year spenddown	
Projects that serve families:				
The project accepts all families with children 18 and un			Supplemental Application Q9b	
The project has a staff person responsible for ensuring that children are enrolled in school and connected to			Supplemental Application Q9a	

I. Project Services and Support	Source Data	Points Breakdown	Total Points	Notes
Describes the entire scope of the project including each of the following: target population to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and	1) Section 3b	Addresses the entire scope of the project including all 5 criteria items listed		
	2) Supplemental Application Q7, Q10, and			
		Total 5 Points		

supportive services needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC program funding will be used.	Q11 3) Attached MOUs		5		
		Address a portion of the scope of the project including 3 or more of the criteria items listed	3		
		Does not address the entire scope of the project including less than 3 of the criteria items listed	0		
		Total 5 Points			
Project is a permanent housing project (RRH, PSH)	1) Project Application Section 3A, 4B	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
The type, frequency, and duration of the supportive services proposed fit the needs of the population to be served	Project Application Section 4A #1	Total 5 Points			
		Meets Needs Well	5		
		Meets Needs Satisfactorily	3		
		Does not meet needs	0		
Project staff have training and skill-set including trauma-informed approach, expertise, etc. to serve specific populations including vulnerable populations	1) Project Application Section 3B 2) Supplemental Application Q7 and Q12	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Project has partnerships in place to successfully connect clients to mainstream health, social services, employment programs, and other mainstream benefits for which program participants might be eligible	1) Project Application Section 4A 2) Supplemental Application Q10 3) Attached MOUs	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Project confirms Housing First Approach and specifically explains how their agency follows this practice while limiting barriers to individuals needing assistance with housing.	1) Project Application Section 3B 2) Supplemental Application Q8 3) USICH Housing First Checklist	Total 5 Points			
		Housing First Plan is implemented and agency prevents barriers to all populations	5		
		Housing First Plan is not yet implemented but agency does have a plan to proceed	3		
		Housing First Plan is not implemented with no plan to proceed with implementation	0		
Category I. Total Maximum Points			30	0	

II. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of homelessness in project design, policy-making, and decision-making	Source Data	Points Breakdown	Points Awarded	Notes
Project demonstrates experience and plan to incorporate people with lived experience of homelessness in decision-making, feedback and operation of the program or past projects	Supplemental Application Q17-18	Total 6 Points		
		Someone with lived experience of homelessness is on agency board (including survivors of domestic violence)	1	
		Agency employs staff members with lived experience of homelessness		

		Program provides opportunities to provide anonymous feedback during program and at program exit	1	
		Agency has diverse staff and board including BIPOC and LGBTQIA+ community members	1	
		Program provides sound examples of ways that participants are meaningfully engaged in program design and operation in addition to how their involvement influenced the program	1	
			2	
Category II. Total Maximum Points			6	0

III. Active participation in Continuum of Care	Source Data	Points Breakdown	Points Awarded	Notes
Involvement in a Balance of State or Local Homeless Coalition Committee (could include PIT Count committee, Planning committee, strategic planning committee, or other committee not listed)	1) Committee List Submitted by Collaborative Applicant 2) Letter of Good Standing 3) Supplemental Application Q13	Total 2 Points		
		Yes	2	
		No	0	
Category III. Total Maximum Points			2	0

IV. Community Need	Source Data	Points Breakdown	Points Awarded	Notes
Demonstrated Geographical Need	Supplemental Application Q4	Total 5 Points		
		Statistical evidence proves the demonstrated needs for this project in the geographic area	5	
		Only limited statistical evidence provided to prove the demonstrated needs for this project in the geographic area	3	
		No statistical evidence was provided to prove the demonstrated needs for this project in the geographic area	0	
In the case where the similar services exist, the service is still relevant and a reasonable plan to avoid duplication of services is in place.	Supplemental Application Q5	Total 5 Points		
		Organization states there are other services being provided and shows plan in place to avoid duplication while working with partners	5	
		Organization states there are other services		

		being provided in the area but does not show plan in place to avoid duplication	3		
		Organization is not sure if there are other services being provided in the area and does not have any local partnerships in place to prevent duplication	0		
		OR			
		There are currently no services being provided in this area with no issue of duplication to occur	5		
The agency exhibits local partnerships through compliance with educational assurances (if serving children), LHC participation and strategic MOUs, and leveraging to meet real program needs.	1) Project Application Section 4A #1 2) Supplemental Application Q9a, Q10 3) Attached MOUs	Total 5 Points			
		Agency states they work with specific partners (with proof of MOUs) including educational institutes, if applicable, and provides examples of ways they have leveraged program resources	5		
		Agency states they work with specific partners (with proof of MOUs) including educational institutes, if applicable, but does not provide examples of ways they have leveraged program resources	3		
		Agency does not work with specific partners (with proof of MOUs) including educational institutes and does not provide examples of ways they have leveraged program resources	0		
The agency accepts all families with children 18 and under without regard to age and gender	1) Supplemental Application Q9B	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Agency employs SOAR certified staff	1) Project Application Section 4A 2) Supplemental Application Q10	Total 3 Points			
		Yes	3		
		No	0		
The target sub-population for this project is a current proven need in the community	Supplemental Application Q7	Total 5 Points			
		Program make-up, goals, and associated services are tailored to meet the needs of this sub-population and the applicant has adequate skills and experience to administer the tailored project	5		
		Program make-up, goals, and associated services are not tailored to meet the needs of this sub-population, but the applicant does have adequate skills and experience with plans to implement and administer a tailored project OR			

		Program make-up, goals, and associated services are tailored to meet the needs of this sub-population, but the applicant does not have adequate skills and experience with plans to administer a tailored project	3	
		Program make-up, goals, and associated services are not tailored to meet the needs of this sub-population and the applicant does not have adequate skills and experience to administer a tailored project if implemented	0	
Equitable and inclusive outreach to individuals that are specifically in underserved and overrepresented populations such as racial/ethnic groups and LGBTQIA+ population.	1) Supplemental Application Q7, Q11	Total 5 Points		
		The outreach plan for the project participants is inclusive of all eligible participants within the community, accessible, and targeted to persons with high barriers (which may require an ongoing street outreach component), feasibly implemented and leverages community support	5	
		The outreach plan for the project participants is not currently inclusive of all eligible participants within the community, accessible, or targeted to persons with high barriers (which may require an ongoing street outreach component), not feasibly implemented and does not leverage community support, but the agency has provided plans of future changes to correct this	3	
		The outreach plan for the project participants is not currently inclusive of all eligible participants within the community, accessible, nor targeted to persons with high barriers (which may require an ongoing street outreach component), not feasibly implemented and does not leverage community support with no future plans to correct this	0	
Agency has confirmed commitment to assist in development and implementation as well as participation in the CoC's coordinated entry system	Supplemental Application Q6	Total 5 Points		
		Yes	5	

	No	0	
Category IV. Maximum Points		38	0

V. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes
Housing case managers and direct supervisors trained in trauma-informed care, which includes "trauma and its impact" and "trauma-informed care."	Supplemental Application Q14	Total 1 Point		
		Yes	1	
		No	0	
Housing case managers and direct supervisors completed training for serving survivors of domestic violence (DV 101)	Supplemental Application Q15	Total 1 Point		
		Yes	1	
		No	0	
Agency has an emergency transfer and re-housing policy that, at minimum, mirrors the VAWA emergency transfer policy in the Entry Point Policies and Procedures	Supplemental Application Q16	Total 1 Point		
		Yes	1	
		No	0	
Category V. Maximum Points		3	0	

VI. Project Serves Vulnerable Population	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes
PSH and SH Projects % of persons served by the program who meet locally defined vulnerable conditions at entry, listed on the APR: Mental Illness Alcohol Abuse Chronic Health Conditions HIV/AIDS Development Disabilities Physical Disabilities	APR Q 5a, 13a2	Total 6 Points		
		50% or greater with 2 or more conditions		
	Calculation:		6	
	(Q13a2 2 Conditions + Q13a2 3 conditions)/Q5a	30%-49% with 2 conditions or more	4	
	Total Number of Persons Served	10%-29% with 2 or more conditions	2	
	Timeframe for Review:	Less than 10% with 2 or more conditions		
RRH, TH, TH-RRH Projects % of persons served by the program who meet locally defined vulnerable conditions at entry, listed on the APR: Mental Illness Alcohol Abuse	APR Q 5a, 13a2	Total 6 Points		
		15% or more with 1 or more conditions		
	Calculation:		6	
	(Q13a2 1 Condition + Q13a2 2 conditions + Q13a2 3 Conditions)/Q5a	10%-14% with 1 or more conditions	4	
	10/01/2021-09/30/2022	5%-9% with 1 or more conditions		

Chronic Health Conditions	Total Number of Persons Served				
HIV/AIDS	Timeframe for Review:	less than 5% with 1 or more conditions			2
Development Disabilities Physical Disabilities	10/01/2021-09/30/2022				0
PSH and SH Projects of adults served by the program who had zero (\$0) income at entry. (Any cash income is included)	APR Q 5a, 18	Total 6 Points			
		50% or above			6
	Calculation:	30%-49.9%			4
	Q18 Number of Adults with No Income at Entry/ Q5a Number of Adults	10%-29.9%			2
	Timeframe for Review:	Below 10%			0
	10/01/2021-09/30/2022				
RRH, TH, TH-RRH of adults served by the program who had zero (\$0) income at entry. (Any cash income is included)	APR Q 5a, 18	Total 6 Points			
		60% or more			6
	Calculation:	40%-59%			4
	Q18 Number of Adults with No Income at Entry/ Q5a Number of Adults	20%-39%			2
	Timeframe for Review:	Below 20%			0
	10/01/2021-09/30/2022				
Project specifically serves vulnerable populations that might face additional barriers such as: High utilization of crisis and emergency services to meet basic needs, including but not limited to emergency rooms, jails, and psychiatric facilities; History of victimization/abuse including domestic abuse, sexual assault, and childhood abuse; Length of time homeless; Low income; No Income; Only project of its kind in the CoC's geographic area serving a homeless population/subpopulation.	Supplemental Application Q12	Total 10 Points			
		Yes			10

<p>Risk of continued homelessness; Significant challenges or functional impairments, including physical, mental, developmental, or behavioral health disabilities regardless of the type of disability, which require a significant level of support to maintain permanent housing (focus on the level of support needed not disability type);</p> <p>Substance Abuse-current or past; Unsheltered homelessness-especially youth and children; Vulnerability to illness or death; Vulnerability to victimization, including physical assault, trafficking, or sex work.</p>		No	0	
Category VI. Maximum Points			22	0

VII. Increase Housing Stability	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes
PSH Programs % of persons in PH program who remained in the PSH program or exited to a permanent destination during the year, excluding any participants who passed away-as reported in the APR.	APR Q 5a, 23c	Total 10 Points		
	Calculation:	75% or above	10	
	(Q23c Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q5a Number of Stayers)/	25.1%-74.9%	5	
	(Q5a Total Number of Persons-Q23c Deceased)	25% or below	0	
	Timeframe for Review: 10/01/2021-09/30/2022	2022 System Performance Average for AR503: 100.0% (M7b2: Successful PH Exits at link below) Reference Link		
RRH, TH-RRH, TH, SH Programs % of persons in RRH, TH-RRH, TH, and SH programs who exited the program during the year to permanent destination, excluding any participants who passed away-as reported in the APR.	APR Q 5a, 23c	Total 10 Points		
	Calculation:	30.3% or above	10	
	Q23c Permanent Destinations	20.1%-30.2%	5	
	Subtotal/(Q5a Number of Leavers-Q23c Deceased)	20% or below	0	
	Timeframe for Review:	2022 System Performance Average for AR503: 30.3% (M7b1: Successful ES, SH, TH, RRH at link below)		

	10/01/2021-09/30/2022	https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures.hud_public.data/viz/HUDCoSystemPerformanceMeasures/M1LengthofStay	
Category VII. Maximum Points		10	0

VIII. Increase Income	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes
% of persons age 18 and older who increased earned income at program exit in project as shown in most recent APR.	APR Q 5a, 18, 19a	Total 10 Points		
	Calculation:	41.3% or above	10	
	19a2 Number of adults w/earned income who retained income and increased at exit + 19a2 number of adults w/earned income who did not have income at start and gained income by exit)/ (Total adults 5a - Adults not required to do annual assessment Q18)	30.1%-41.2%	5	
	Timeframe for Review:	30.0% or below	0	
	10/01/2021-09/30/2022	2022 System Performance Average for AR503: 41.3% (M4: Lvr Increased Earned Inc. at link below) https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures.hud_public.data/viz/2022SystemPerformanceAverageforAR503/41.3%M4LvrIncreasedEarnedInc		
% of persons age 18 and older who increased total income at the end of program exit, either by gaining a source of income or by increasing the amount of their total income.	APR Q 5a, 18, 19a	Total 10 Points		
	Calculation:	41.0% or above	10	
	19a1 Number of adults with any income who increase income by annual assessment + 19a2 Number of adults with any income who increase income by exit)/ (Total adults 5a - Adults not required to do annual assessment Q18)	30.1%-40.9%	5	
	Timeframe for Review:	30% or below	0	
	10/01/2021-09/30/2022	2022 System Performance Average for AR503: 41.3% (M4:Lvr Increase Total Inc. at link below) https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures.hud_public.data/viz/2022SystemPerformanceAverageforAR503/41.3%M4LvrIncreaseTotalInc		
Category VIII. Maximum Points		20	0	

IX. Utilizing New and Existing Resources Effectively to Improve the Homeless System	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes	
Projects are consistently utilizing grant resources	1) Project Application-Performance Recipient Section 2)Supplemental Application Q2	Total 10 Points			
		Project's most recent renewal recapture is 2% or less OR Project's most recent renewal recapture is more than 2% but is giving 100% of balance to BoS for reallocation OR Project has not completed a renewal			10
		Project's most recent renewal recapture average is more than 2% and chooses not to reallocate the balance back to BoS BUT has provided detailed and persuasive information as to why this occurred and what steps were taken so that future recapture will be below 2%			5
		Project's most recent renewal recapture average is more than 2% and chooses not to reallocate the balance and does not have persuasive explanation as to why or actions it will take for recapture to be below 2%			0
Category IX. Maximum Points		10	0		

X. HMIS	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes	
Maintains complete client level data in HMIS for this project as shown in Data Quality Reports	APR Q6a-d (Average of total error rates)	Total 5 Points			
		Combined, collective data elements are at least 95%			5
		Combined, collective data elements are between 90%-94.99%			4
		Combined, collective data elements are between 85%-89.99%			3
		Combined, collective data elements are between 80%-84.99%			2
		Combined, collective data elements are below 80%			1
Occupied and Available for Occupancy as completed in the APR by the recipient	APR Q2 Average of Units and Beds (Average % of Actually Available to Proposed)	Total 5 Points			
		90% or above			5
		70%-89%			3
		50%-69%			1

		Below 50%	0		
The agency has experience using HMIS or a comparable database: Homeless System Response	Supplemental Application Q19-23	Total 5 Points			
		Has experience with HMIS	5		
		Some experience with HMIS but some concern with capacity	3		
		No experience with HMIS and significant	0		
		OR			
		Has experience with HMIS comparable database or client-level data management	5		
		Some experience with comparable database or client-level data management but some concern with capacity	3		
		No experience with HMIS comparable database or client-level data management and significant concerns	1		
Category X. Maximum Points			15	0	

XI. Project Details	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes	
Previous Year's Annual Performance Report (APR) was submitted on time.	Project Application Section- Recipient Performance	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Project funds are drawn down quarterly.	Project Application Section- Recipient Performance	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Project Budget provides a description for any eligible cost item listed along with annual assistance requested	Project Application Section 6-Budgets that pertain to project	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Match is secured with source(s) documented	Project Application-6D	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Category XI. Maximum Points			20	0	

XII. System Performance Improvement	Source Data	Points Breakdown	Points Awarded	Notes	
Agency has plan in place to review system performance data annually in order to improve outcomes.	Supplemental Application Q30	Total 5 Points			
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Category III. Total Maximum Points			5	0	

Scoring Summary		Points Awarded	Maximum Points
Category I.	Project Services and Support	0	30
Category II.	Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of homelessness in project design, policy-making, and decision-making	0	6
Category III.	Active Participation in Continuum of Care	0	2
Category IV.	Community Need	0	38
Category V.	Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence	0	3
Category VI.	Project Serves Vulnerable Population	0	22
Category VII.	Increase Housing Stability	0	10
Category VIII.	Increase in Income	0	20
Category IX.	Utilizing New and Existing Resources Effectively to Improve the Homeless System	0	10
Category X.	HMIS	0	15
Category XI.	Project Budget	0	20
Category XII.	System Performance Improvement	0	5
Total Points		0	181

Tiebreaker Criteria
In the even that two or more projects of the same type received the same project score, the following tie-breaking criteria will be applied to aid in the ranking of projects when no other distinguishing data and/or information is available:
1) Renewal Projects will be prioritized over new projects
2) Projects located in an LHC with a clear demonstrated need and/or that does not already have a CoC funded program will be prioritized

Additional Reviewer Comments

