### Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC **Priority Listing**

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the submission deadline stated in the Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

 Unshelterd Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing – lists all new project applications applying for funding through the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside that were approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.

Rural Set Aside Project Listing – lists all new project applications applying for funding through

the Rural Set Aside that were approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.

- UFA Costs Project Listing – applicable and only visible for Collaborative Applicants that were designated as a Unified Funding Agency (UFA) during the FY 2022 CoC Program Registration process. Only 1 UFA Costs project application is permitted and must be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant. The UFA project must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.

- CoC Planning Project Listing - Only 1 CoC planning project is permitted per CoC and must be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant. The CoC Planning project must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

#### Things to Remember:

- All projects must be approved and ranked or rejected on the Project Listings. This includes funding for CoC Planning and UFA Costs, which must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered HOmelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- If a project application(s) is rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must notify the project applicant(s) no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application deadline outside of e-snaps and include the reason for rejection.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason after ranking has been completed, the ranking of other projects will not be affected: however, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND re-rank the project application BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition

# 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

#### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO Competition Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO Competition Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Ouachita Children, Youth, and Family Services,

### **Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Listing**

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PSH/RRH	Expansion
This list contains no items								

### **Rural Set Aside Listing**

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the Rural Set Aside Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Rural Set Aside Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type
Rural Set Aside P	2022-09-27 10:38:	3 Years	Margie's Haven House	\$204,858	1	RRH	PH
Rural Set Aside	2022-09-27 12:48:	3 Years	Ouachita Children	\$1,267,200	2		SSO
Rural Grant Homes	2022-10-05 19:18:	3 Years	The Family Center	\$680,177	X	PSH	PH
Domestic Violence	2022-10-06 10:42:	3 Years	Serenity Incorpor	\$172,869	Х		SSO

### Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the ""Update List"" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the esnaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program offices/comm planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?	Rank	
This list contains no items							

### **Funding Summary**

#### Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked; or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds..

Title	Total Amount
Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	
Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside - Rejected Amount	
Rural Set Aside	\$1,472,058
Rural Set Aside - Rejected Amount	\$853,046
CoC Planning Amount	
Total CoC Request Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	\$0
Total CoC Request Rural Set Aside	\$1,472,058
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$1,472,058

# **Attachments**

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD- 2991)	Yes	Form 2991	09/27/2022
FY 2022 Rank Tool (optional)	No	FY Special NOFO N	10/06/2022
Other	No		
Priority Listing	No		

#### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Form 2991

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** FY Special NOFO New Project Rating Tool

#### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

# **Submission Summary**

WARNING: The FY2022 Special NOFO Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2022 Special NOFO Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	08/01/2022
2A. Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing	No Input Required
2B. Rural Set Aside Project Listing	10/06/2022
2D. CoC Planning Project Listing	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	10/06/2022
Submission Summary	No Input Required

FY2022 Special NOFO Project Priority List	Page 9	10/14/2022
---	--------	------------

# U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Ouachita Children, Youth, and Family Services, Inc.

Project Name: HUD FY22 CoC AR-503 CoC Competition

Location of the Project: 339 Charteroak, Hot Springs, AR 71901

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Hot Springs

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Bill Burrough

Title: City Manager

Signature: Signature: Signature: 8/24/22

Public reporting burden for this collection of Information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

#### Arkansas Balance of State Continuum of Care 2022 New Project Ranking Tool-Special NOFO

Reviewer Agency Project Project Type

Threshold Questions All require "YES" or an accepted justification to move forward with application review.

Threshold Criteria	Yes	No	Source	Notes
			CoC Interim Rule, 2022	
Project complies with eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule and			NOFO, and eligiblity	
Subsequent Notices and meets threshold requirements outlined in the HUD			information submitted with	
2022 NOFO			application	
			1) Project Application Section	
			3B #5	
			2) Supplemental Application	
			Q4	
Project does utilitize the Housing First Model (checklist and application)			3) Housing First Checklist	
Project will follow the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures,			Supplemental Application Q6	
including HUD's Equal Access Rule, when it is implemented while also				
			Supplemental Application	
Project does or will participate in HMIS or an equivalent database			Q15-19	
Project has written documentation of match that meets HUD's standards			Project Application Section 6I	
			1) Committee List Submitted	
			by Collaborative Application	
			2) Letter of Good Standing	
			3) Supplement Application	
			Q11	
Applicant is an active CoC Participant				
Application and supplemental application are complete			Review of Items Submitted	
Application and supplemental application are complete  Applicant does not have any unresolved HUD monitoring findings (if			Project Application Section	
applicable)			2B #4 Agency Audit Letter and	
Current audit does not have findings or has submitted Corrective Action Plan			other documentation as	
			needed	
if so			needed	
Projects that serve families:			5	
The project accepts all families with children 18 and under without regard to			Supplemental Application	
age or gender			Q7b	
The project has a staff person responsible for ensuring that children are			Supplemental Application	
enrolled in school and connected to services in the community			Q7a	

I. Project readiness and management	Source Data	Points Breakdown		Total Points	Notes
Organization has experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and	Project Application section 2B #1	Total 5 Points			
performing the activities proposed in the application including working with					
and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive					
service needs; developing and implementing relevant program systems,					
services, and/or residential property construction and rehab; identifying and					
securing matching funds from a variety of sources; and managing basic					
organization operations including financial accounting systems.		Yes	5		
		No	0		
The estimated schedule for proposed activities is realistic and exhibits timely	Project Application section 3B #2	ect Application section 3B #2 Total 5 Points			
project implementation		Highly Realistic	5		
		Realistic	3		
		Not Realistic	0		
Organization has a financial management structure that operates accordingly					
with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent		Total 5 Points			
that will maintain a functioning accounting system for the organization					
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Organization provides information proving they have experience in	Project Application Section 2B #2	Total 5 Points			
leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds		Yes	5		
		No	0		
Category I Total: Maximum Points					
			20	0	

I. Project Services and Support	Source Data	Points Available	Т	otal Points	Notes
Describes the entire scope of the project including each of the following:	1) Project Application Section 3B #1	Total 5 P	ints		·
arget population to be served, project plan for addressing the identified	2) Supplemental Application Q5				
nousing and supportive services needs, anticipated project outcome(s),	and Q8				
oordination with other organizations (e.g. federal, state, nonprofit), and	3) Attached MOUs	Yes	5		
low CoC program funding will be used.					
		No	0		
he proposed project is consistent with the plan described by the CoC in	1) Project Application Section 3B 1a	Total 5 P	ints		
esponse to section VII.B.4 of the Special NOFO.	-,				
sports to section vir.s.4 or the special rior o.					
		Yes	-		
		No.	3		
	1) Constant Application OF and	Total 5 P	ina.		
agency has a plan for equitable and inclusive outreach to individuals that are		10tal 5 P	mire		
specifically in underserved and overrepresented populations such as	Q9				
acial/ethnic groups and the LGBTQIA+ population.					
		Yes	5		
		No	0		
he type, frequency, and duration of the supportive services proposed fit the	1) Project Application Section 4A #3				
eeds of the population to be served	2) Supplemental Application Q8	Total 5 P	ints		
	3) Attached MOUs	Yes	5		
		No	0		
his project outlines how it will assist clients to successfully obtain and	Project Application Section 4A #1				
naintain permanent housing including the following: how the project will		Total 5 P	ints		
etermine the right type of housing, how the project will work with landlords					
o address possible issues and challenges if rental assistance or leasing					
ssistance is used, type of assistance and support to be provided to program					
articipants to overocme challenges to permanent housing, and how the					
roject will work with program participants to set goals toward retention of		Yes	5		
ermanent housing. If project will exclusively assist victims of domestic					
iolence, the description must include safety planning addressing the needs					
f this particular homeless population towards meeting the goal of obtaining					
and maintaining housing.					
		No	0		
roject focuses on specific sub-population including DV, Veterans, Youth, or	1) Project Application Section 3B		,		
hronically Homeless.	2) Supplemental Application Q5	Total 5 P	ints		
	-,p. cincilar application (c)	Yes			
	1	No.	3		
roject has specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream	1) Project Application Section 4A#2		1		
,	Project Application Section 4A#2     Supplemental Application Q8				
ealth, social services, and employment programs for which program			:		
articipants may be eligible including the following: assisting program	3) Attached MOUs	Total 5 P	mits		
articipants with obtaining and increasing employment income, the type of					
ainstream services the project will assist program participants with	1	L.			
btaining to increase non-employment income, the type of social services the		Yes	5		
roject will provide access and help program participants obtain, and access	1	1			

to healthcare benefits and resources.		No	0		
Project is a permanent housing project (PSH, RRH)	Project Application Section 3A and 4B	Total 5 Points Yes No	5 0		
Project confirms Housing First Approach and specificly explains how their agency follows this practice while limiting barriers to individuals needing assistance with housing.	2) Supplemental Application Q4	Total 5 Points  Housing First Plan is implemented and agency prevents barriers to all populations  Housing First Plan is not yet implemented but agency does have a plan to to proceed  Housing First Plan is not implemented with no plan to proceed	3		
stegory II Total Maximum Points				0	

III. HMIS	Source Data	Points Available		Total Points	Notes
The agency has experience using HMIS or a comparable database	Supplemental Application Q15-19	Total 5 Points			
Homeless System Response:		Has experience with HMIS	5		
https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/Homeless-System-		Some experience with HMIS but some concern with capacity			
Response-Comparable-Database-Vendor-Checklist.pdf					
			3		
		No experience with HMIS and significant concerns			
			0		
		OR			
		Has experience with HMIS comparable database or client-level data			
		management			
			5		
		Some experience with comparable database or client-level data			
		management but some concern with capacity			
			3		
		No experience with HMIS comparable database or client-level data			
		management and significant concerns			
			1		
Category III Total Maximum Points					
1				0	

IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of					
homelessness in project design, policy-making, and decision-making					
	Source Data	Points Available		Total Points	Notes
Project demonstrates experience and plan to incorporate people with lived	Supplemental Application Q10	Total 6 Points			
experience of homelessness in decision-making, feedback, and operation of		Someone with lived experience of homelessness is on agency board			
the program or past projects		(involving survivors of domestic violence)			
			1	L	
		Agency employs staff members with lived experience of			
		homelessness			
			1	L	
		Program provides opportunities to provide anonymous feedback			
		during program and at program exit			
			1	L	
		Agency has diverse staff and board including BIPOC and LGBTQIA+			
		community members			
			1	L	
		Program provides sound examples of ways that participants are			
		meaningfully engaged in program design and operation in addition			
		to how their invovlement influenced the program			
1			2		
Category IV Total Maximum Points	•		-	0	

V. Active participation in Continuum of Care	Source Data	Points Available		Total Points	Notes
Involvement in a Balance of State or Local Homeless Coalition Committee	1) Committee List Submitted by	Total 2 Point			
(could include PIT Count committee, Planning committee, strategic planning	Collaborative Applicant				
committee, or other committee not listed	2) Letter of Good Standing	Yes	2		
	3) Supplemental Application Q11				
		No	0		
Category V Total Maximum Points					
			2	0	

VI. Community Need	Source Data	Points Available	Points Awarded	Notes
Demonstrated Geographical Need	Supplemental Application Q2	Total 5 Points  Statistical evidence proves the demonstrated need for this project in the geographic area	5	
		Only limited statistical evidence provided to prove the demonstrated need for this project in the geographic area		
		No statistical evidence was provided to prove the demonstrated needs for this project in the geographic area		
In the case where the similar services exist, the service is still relevant and a	1) Supplemental Application Q3	Total 5 Points	U	
reasonable plan to avoid duplication of services is in place.	з заррешения принами.	Organization states there are other services being provided and shows plan in place to avoid duplication while working with partners  Organization states that are other services being provided in the area but does not show plan in place to avoid duplication  Organization is not sure if there are other services being provided in the area and does not show plan in place to avoid duplication	3	
		OR		
		There are currently no services being provided in this area with no issue of duplication to occur	5	
The agency exhibits local partnerships through compliance with educational	1)Supplemental Application Q7a	Total 5 Points		
assurances (if serving children), LHC participation and strategic MOUs, and leveraging to meet real program needs.	2) Attached MOUs	Agency states they work with specific partners (with proof of MOUs) including educational institutes, if applicable, and provides examples of ways they have leveraged program resources		

1	l	1	1	1 1
			5	
		Agency states they work with specific partners (with proof of MOUs) including educational institutes, if applicable, but does not		
		provide examples of ways they have leveraged program resources		
			3	
		Agency does not work with specific partners (with proof of MOUs) including educational institutes and does not provide examples of		
		ways they have leveraged program resources		
The agency accepts all families with children 18 and under without regard to	1) Supplemental Application O78	Total 5 Points	0	
age and gender	1) Supplemental Application Q/B			
		Yes	5	
		No	0	
Agency employs SOAR trained staff	1) Project Application Section 4A	Total 3 Points	_	
	Supplemental Application Q8     Current Certification	Yes No	0	
The targeted sub-population for this project is a current proven need in the	1) Project Application Section 3B, 5B	Total 5 Points		
community.	2) Supplemental Application Q5	Program make-up, goals, and associated services are tailored to meet the needs of the sub-population and the applicant has		
		adequate skills and experience to administer the tailored project		
		Decrease make up goals and a control of the control	5	
		Program make-up, goals, and associated services are not tailored to meet the needs of the sub-population, but the applicant has		
		adequate skills and experience with plans to implement and		
		administer the tailored project <b>OR</b> Program make-up, goals, and associated services are tailored to	3	
		meet the needs of the sub-population, but the applicant does not		
		have adequate skills and experience with plans to administer the tailored project		
		Program make-up, goals, and associated services are not tailored to	_	
		meet the needs of the sub-population, and the applicant does not		
		have adequate skills and experience to administer the tailored project if implemented	0	
Inclusive Outreach Plan	1) Supplemental Application Q9	Total 5 Points		
		The outreach plan for the project participants is inclusive of all eligible participants within the community, accessible, and targeted		
		to persons with high barriers (which may require an ongoing street		
		outreach component), feasibly implemented and leverages		
		community support The outreach plan for the project participants is not currently		
		inclusive of all eligible participants within the community,		
		accessible, nor targeted to persons with high barriers (which may require an ongoing street outreach component), not feasibly		
		implemented, and does not leverage community support, but the		
		agency has provided plans of future changes to correct this		
		The outreach plan for the project participants is not currently	3	
		inclusive of all eligible participants within the community,		
		accessible, nor targeted to persons with high barriers (which may require an ongoing street outreach component), not feasibly		
		implemented, and does not leverage community support with no		
Agency has confirmed committment to assist in development and	1) Project Application 3B #4	future plans to correct this  Total 5 Points	0	
implementation as well as participation in the CoC's coordinated entry	Supplemental Application Q6	Total 5 Points		
system		Yes	5	
		No	0	
VI. Maximum Points			38 0	ı
VII. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence	Source Data	Points Available	Total Points	Notes
Housing case managers and direct supervisors trained in trauma-informed	Supplemental Application Q12	Total 1 Point	Total Tomb	Notes
care, which includes "trauma and its impact" and "trauma-informed care."		W		
		Yes	_1	
		No	0	
Housing case managers and direct supervisors completed training for serving survivors of domestic violence (DV 101)	Supplemental Application Q13	Total 1 Point	-	
(0.202)		Yes	1	
Agency has an emergency transfer and re-housing policy that, at minimum,	Supplemental Application Q14	No Total 1 Point	U	
mirrors the VAWA emergency transfer policy in the Entry Point Policies and			.]	
Procedures		Yes	1	
		No	0	
VII. Maximum Points			3 0	1
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		I I	J 0	1
VIII. Project Budget	Source Data	Points Available	Total Points	Notes
Project can be under grant be 09/15/2024	Project Application Section 6A	Total 5 Points		
		Yes	5	
Project Budget provides a description for any eligible cost item listed along	Project Application Section 6-Budgets	No Total 5 Points	0	
with annual assistance requested	that pertain to project		_	
		Yes	5	
		No	0	<u> </u>
Match is secured with source(s) documented	Project Application-6I     Match Documentation requested in	Total 5 Points	_	
	<ol> <li>Match Documentation requested in checklist</li> </ol>	Yes	5	
	I	No	U	
VIII. Maximum Points			15 0	l
IX. Program Exits	Source Data	Points Available	Total Points	Notes
Successful Program exits from 7/1/2021-6/30/2022 (PSH)	Supplemental Application #20	Total 10 points	10	
		66.8% or above 61.0%-66.7%	10 5	
		60.9% or below	0	
		2021 System Performance Average for AR503: 66.7% (M7b2: Successful PH Exits at below)	IIIIK	
		https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures.hud.public.da	ta/vi	
I	l	z/HUDCoCSystemPerformanceMeasures/M1Lengthof Stay	T	ı l

Successful Program exits from 7/1/2021-6/30/2022 (ES, SH, TH, and RRH)	Supplemental Application #20	Total 10 points 22.1% or above	10		
		15.1%-22.0%	5		
		15% or below 2021 System Performance Average for AR503: 22.0% (M7b1: Successful	O I EC CU TU DDU		
		at link below)	123, 311, 111, KKII		
		https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures. z/HUDCoCSystemPerformanceMeasures/M1Lengthof Stay	hud.public.data/vi		
		2/HODCoCsystemPerformanceWeasures/Wittengthor stay			
D. M.			15		
Category IX: Maximum Points		L	15	U	
X. Increase Income % of persons age 18 and older who increased earned income at program exit	Source Data Supplemental Application #21	Points Available  Total 10 points		Total Points	Notes
from 10/1/2020-9/30/2021		50.1% or above	10		
		45%-50% 44.9% or below	5		
		2021 System Performance Average for AR503: 50% (M4: Lvrs Increase	e Earned Inc. at		
		link below) https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures.	hud nublic data/vi		
		z/HUDCoCSystemPerformanceMeasures/M1Lengthof Stay	,		
% of person age 18 and older who increased total income at program exit,	Supplemental Application #22	Total 10 points			
either by gaining a source of income or by increasing the amount of their	Supplemental Application NZE	52.3% or above	10		
total income from 10/1/2020-9/30/2021		47%-52.2% 52.1% or below	5		
		2021 System Performance Average for AR503: 52.2% (M4: Lvrs Increase	e Total Inc. at link		
		below) https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/system.performance.measures.	hd ablic data 6.:		
		z/HUDCoCSystemPerformanceMeasures/M1Lengthof Stay	apublit.Udtd/VI		
Category X: Maximum Points			20	0	
XI. Project Serves Vulnerable Population	Source Data	Points Available		Total Points	Notes
% of persons served by a PH prorgram who meet locally definited vulnerable	Supplemental Application #25	Total 6 points			
conditions at entry based on organization assessment from 10/1/2020- 09/30/2021:		50% or greater w/2 or more conditions	6		
		30%.49.9% w/2 or more conditions			
Mental Illness Alcohol Abuse		30%-49.9% w/2 or more conditions	4		
Chronic Health Conditions		10%-29.9% w/2 or more conditions	2		
HIV/AIDS Development Disabilities		Below 10% w/2 or more conditions			
Physical Disabilites			0		
% of persons served by a RRH, TH, or TH-RRH prorgram who meet locally definited vulnerable conditions at entry based on organization assessment	Supplemental Application #25	Total 6 points			
from 10/1/2020-09/30/2021:		50% or greater w/2 or more conditions			
			6		
Mental Illness		30%-49.9% w/2 or more conditions	4		
Alcohol Abuse Chronic Health Conditions		10%-29.9% w/2 or more conditions	2		
HIV/AIDS		Below 10% w/2 or more conditions	-		
Development Disabilities Physical Disabilites					
Category XI: Maximum Points			6	0	
XII. Utilizing New and Existing Resources Effectively to Improve the	c				Notes
Homeless System Projects are consistently utilizing grant resources (any state and federal grant	Source Data Supplemental Application #24	Points Available  Total 10 points		Total Points	
funds during most recent completed grant year of each onewill average for		Less than 2% not spent at grant award year end	10		
the percentage).		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end but sufficient	5		
		justification presented to explain			
			0		
		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or	0		
		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient	0		
		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or	0		
		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards	10	0	
XIII. System Performance Improvement	Source Data	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available		0 Total Points	Notes
	Source Data  1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards			Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available			Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point			Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes		Total Points	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes			Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes		Total Points	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes	5 0 5	Total Points  0	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes	5 0 5	Total Points  0  Maximum Points	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category 1. Project readiness and management Category 1. Project services and support		More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes	5 0 5	Total Points  0	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category III. Project services and support	1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 0 5 sints Awarded	Total Points  0  Maximum Points 20	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project readiness and management Category III. Project services and support Category III. Project services and support Category IV. Project services and support Category IV. Milkii Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home	1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Points  0  Maximum Points 20	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category I. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category III. HMIS Category III. HMIS Category III. HMIS Category IV. Mainiful depagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Active Participation CoC	1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 0 5 sints Awarded	Total Points  0  Maximum Points 20	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project readiness and management Category III. Project services and support Category III. Project services and support Category IV. Promet readiness and management Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category VI. Community Need Category VI. Community Need Category VI. Community Need Category VI. Community Need	1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0  Maximum Points  20 45 5 6 2 2 38	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project readiness and management Category III. Project services and support Category IV. Project services and support Category IV. Maximum Points  Category IV. Maximum Points  Category IV. Maximum Points  Category IV. Maximum Points  Category IV. Active Participation CoC Category IV. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VII. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VII. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence	1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0  Maximum Points 20 45 5 6 2 38 3 3 155	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project reviews and management Category III. Project services and support Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Meaningful engagement of Domestic Violence Category VI. Community Need Category VI. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VII. Project Budget	1) Supplemental Application Q26	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0  Maximum Points 20 45 5 6 2 2 38 3 3 155 100 20	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category VIII. Project readiness and management Category VIII. Project services and support Category VIII. Project services and support Category VIII. Whils Category VI. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category VI. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category VII. Droject Budget Category VIII. Project Brows VIIII. Project Serves VI	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-making and po	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0  Maximum Points  20 45 5 6 2 38 3 15 10 20 6 20	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project reviews and management Category III. Project services and support Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Meaningful engagement of Domestic Violence Category VI. Community Need Category VI. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VII. Project Budget	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-making and po	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0  Maximum Points 20 45 5 6 2 2 38 3 3 155 100 20	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category I. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category III. Project services and support Category III. HMIS Category IV. Terest services and support Category IV. Community Need Category IV. Community Need Category IV. Community Need Category VI. Community Need Category VI. In Community Need Category VIII. Project Burdget Category XII. Project Burdget Category XII. Project Services Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XIII. System Performance Improvement	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-making and po	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	0  Maximum Points  20 45 5 6 22 38 31 15 20 6 6 10 5	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category I. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category III. Project services and support Category III. HMIS Category IV. Terest services and support Category IV. Community Need Category IV. Community Need Category IV. Community Need Category VI. Community Need Category VI. In Community Need Category VIII. Project Burdget Category XII. Project Burdget Category XII. Project Services Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XIII. System Performance Improvement	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-making and po	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Total Points  0  Maximum Points  20  45  5  6  2 2  38  31  10  20  6  6  10	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category I. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category III. Project services and support Category III. HMIS Category IV. Terest services and support Category IV. Community Need Category IV. Community Need Category IV. Community Need Category VI. Community Need Category VI. In Community Need Category VIII. Project Burdget Category XII. Project Burdget Category XII. Project Services Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XII. Project Serves Vulnerable Population Category XIII. System Performance Improvement	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-making and po	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No	5 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	0  Maximum Points  20 45 5 6 22 38 31 15 20 6 6 10 5	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category II. Project services and support Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category IV. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category IV. Project Budget Category XI. Project Budget Category XI. Project Survivors of Domestic Violence Category XII. Project Survivors of Domestic Violence Category XII. Project Survivors of Domestic Violence Category XII. Utilizing new and existing resources effectively to improve the Incategory XII. System Performance Improvement Total Points  Trebreaker Criteria	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-makin  nomeless system	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No  Po  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  p	5 5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0  Maximum Points 20 45 5 6 2 2 388 3 15 10 20 6 30 5 185	Notes
improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category I. Project readiness and management Category II. Project readiness and management Category II. Project services and support Category III. HMIS Category IV. Active Participation CoC Category V. Active Participation CoC Category V. Active Participation CoC Category VI. Community Need Category VI. Torsies Industry of Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VIII. Project Budget Category XIII. Project Budget Category X. Increase Income Category XIII. Utilizing new and existing resources effectively to improve the IC Category XIII. Utilizing new and existing resources effectively to improve the IC Category XIII. System Performance Improvement Total Points  Thebreaker Criteria In the event that two or more projects of the same type received the same III. In the event that two or more projects of the same type received the same III. In the event that two or more projects of the same type received the same III. In the event that two or more projects of the same type received the same III. In the event that two or more projects of the same type received the same III.	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-makin  nomeless system	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No  Po  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  p	5 5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0  Maximum Points 20 45 5 6 2 2 388 3 15 10 20 6 30 5 185	Notes
XIII. System Performance Improvement Agency has a plan in place to annually review system performance data to improve upon system outcomes and provides data to show how this has been done.  Category XIII. Total Maximum Points  Scoring Summary Category II. Project readiness and management Category III. Project services and support Category IV. Project services and support Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Meaningful engagement of people with lived experience of home Category IV. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VII. Increasing Safety for Survivors of Domestic Violence Category VII. Project Survivors Category XII. System Performance improvement Total Points  Tiebreaker Criteria	Supplemental Application Q26  elessness in project design, policy-makin  nomeless system	More than 2% not spent at grant award year end and no sufficient justification presented to explain OR does not have any state or federal awards  Points Available  Total 5 Point  Yes  No  Po  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  pa  p	5 5 0 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0  Maximum Points 20 45 5 6 2 2 388 3 15 10 20 6 30 5 185	Notes

2) Projects located in an LHC with a clear demonstrated need and/or that does not already have a CoC funded program will be prioritized

Additional Reviewer Comments